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#### Abstract

We exploit the hidden symmetry structure of a recently proposed non-Hermitian Hamiltonian and of its Hermitian equivalent one. This sheds new light on the pseudo-Hermitian character of the former and allows access to a generalized quantum condition. Special cases lead to hyperbolic and Morse-like potentials in the framework of a coordinate-dependent mass model.


PACS number: 03.65.-w

Non-Hermitian Hamiltonians are currently an active field of research [1-15], motivated by the necessity to understand the mathematical properties of their subclass, namely the pseudoHermitian or the $P T$-symmetric ones. Also, to investigate the existence of a suitable similarity transformation that maps such Hamiltonians to an equivalent Hermitian form is important from a physical point of view. A consistent theory of quantum mechanics demands a certain inner product that ensures the associated norm to be positive definite. In this direction there have been efforts to look for non-Hermitian Hamiltonians which have a real spectrum such that the accompanying dynamics is unitary [13].

It must be said that the interest in non-Hermitian Hamiltonians was stepped up by a conjecture of Bender and Boettcher [1] that $P T$-symmetric Hamiltonians could possess real bound-state eigenvalues. Subsequently, Mostafazadeh [10] showed that the concept of PT symmetry has its roots in the theory of pseudo-Hermitian operators. He pointed out that the reality of the spectrum is ensured [16] if the Hamiltonian $H$ is Hermitian with respect to a positive-definite inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{+}$on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ in which $H$ is acting. This inner product may be expressed in terms of the defining inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{+}=\left\langle\cdot, \zeta_{+} \cdot\right\rangle \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the metric operator $\zeta_{+}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ belongs to the set of all Hermitian invertible operators and, in itself, is positive definite. The pseudo-Hermiticity of the Hamiltonian $H$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{\dagger}=\zeta_{+} H \zeta_{+}^{-1} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

serves as one of the plausible necessary and sufficient conditions for the reality of the spectrum.
The Hilbert space equipped with the inner product (1) is identified as the physical Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\text {phys }}$. Any observable $O \in \mathcal{H}_{\text {phys }}$ is related to the Hermitian operator $o \in \mathcal{H}$ by means of a similarity transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
O=\rho^{-1} o \rho \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho=\sqrt{\zeta_{+}}$is unitary and maps $\mathcal{H}_{\text {phys }} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$. For the Hermitian Hamiltonian $h$ we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=\rho H \rho^{-1} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which speaks of the quasi-Hermiticity of $H[17,18]$ and $h$ may be looked upon as the equivalent Hermitian analogue of $H$.

Some studies have been done [16, 19-22] to arrive at a specific form for the mapping function $\rho$ for various non-Hermitian quantum systems. Very recently, in an enlightening paper, Jones [19] considered different possibilities for $\rho=\rho(x)$ and demonstrated, in particular, that a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian, which was earlier proposed and solved by Swanson [23] using operator techniques, admits of an equivalent Hermitian representation by applying a similarity transformation. Swanson's Hamiltonian reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{(\alpha, \beta)}=\left(H^{(\beta, \alpha)}\right)^{\dagger}=\omega \eta^{\dagger} \eta+\alpha \eta^{2}+\beta \eta^{\dagger 2}+\frac{1}{2} \omega \quad \omega, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\eta$ and $\eta^{\dagger}$ obeying the standard commutation relation $\left[\eta, \eta^{\dagger}\right]=1$. It is obviously Hermitian only if $\alpha=\beta$, but $P T$-symmetric (or, equivalently, $P$-pseudo-Hermitian) for all values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$. Jones' transformation of $H^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ to its equivalent Hermitian analogue is reminiscent of the partial algebraization method to tackle quasi-solvable systems through an imaginary gauge transformation (for a review see [24]). Indeed, $H^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ can be presented as a linear combination of $\operatorname{sl(2)}$ generators $K_{0}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\eta^{\dagger} \eta+\frac{1}{2}\right), K_{+}=\frac{1}{2} \eta^{\dagger 2}, K_{-}=\frac{1}{2} \eta^{2}$.

An important point we wish to observe here is that the Hermitian Hamiltonian $h^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ corresponding to Swanson's Hamiltonian whenever $\omega>\alpha+\beta$, namely [19]

$$
\begin{align*}
h^{(\alpha, \beta)} & =-\frac{1}{2}(\omega-\alpha-\beta) \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega^{2}-4 \alpha \beta}{\omega-\alpha-\beta} x^{2} \\
& =\rho_{(\alpha, \beta)} H^{(\alpha, \beta)} \rho_{(\alpha, \beta)}^{-1} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{(\alpha, \beta)}=\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha-\beta}{\omega-\alpha-\beta} x^{2}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is clearly symmetric in the parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$, i.e., $h^{(\alpha, \beta)}=h^{(\beta, \alpha)}$, a feature not present in (5). A consequence of this is that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{(\alpha, \beta)}=\rho_{(\beta, \alpha)} H^{(\beta, \alpha)} \rho_{(\beta, \alpha)}^{-1}=\rho_{(\beta, \alpha)} H^{(\alpha, \beta) \dagger} \rho_{(\beta, \alpha)}^{-1} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

whence it follows from (6) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\rho_{(\beta, \alpha)}^{-1} \rho_{(\alpha, \beta)}\right] H^{(\alpha, \beta)}\left[\rho_{(\alpha, \beta)}^{-1} \rho_{(\beta, \alpha)}\right]=H^{(\alpha, \beta) \dagger} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above equation clearly shows $H^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ to be $\zeta$-pseudo-Hermitian if we define $\zeta=$ $\rho_{(\beta, \alpha)}^{-1} \rho_{(\alpha, \beta)}$. A sufficient condition for the positivity of $\zeta$ may be provided by the restriction $\rho_{(\alpha, \beta)}=\rho_{(\beta, \alpha)}^{-1}$, which is obeyed by (7).

The purpose of this letter is to study the Hamiltonian (5) from the point of view of a generalized quantum condition for which $\left[\eta, \eta^{\dagger}\right] \neq 1$. Although $P T$ symmetry is generally lost in this way, we will show that the transformed Hamiltonian still remains pseudo-Hermitian with respect to a positive-definite $\zeta_{+}$and a corresponding Hermitian counterpart can be easily set up. On the other hand, a generalized quantum condition allows access to those physical systems that are underlined by a coordinate dependence in mass by suitably choosing $\eta$.

Let us adopt the most general first-order differential form for $\eta$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=a(x) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} x}+b(x) \quad a(x), b(x) \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It yields for the commutator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\eta, \eta^{\dagger}\right]=2 a b^{\prime}-a a^{\prime \prime} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where a prime denotes derivative with respect to $x$. Then the corresponding eigenvalue equation for (5) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{H}^{(\alpha, \beta)} \phi(x) \equiv\left[-\tilde{\omega} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} x} a^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} x}+\left(\tilde{\omega} a a^{\prime}+c_{1}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} x}+c_{2}\right] \phi(x)=E \phi(x) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the functions $c_{1}(x)$ and $c_{2}(x)$ are given by
$c_{1}(x)=-\tilde{\omega} a a^{\prime}+(\alpha-\beta) a\left(2 b-a^{\prime}\right)$
$c_{2}(x)=\tilde{\omega}\left(b^{2}-a b^{\prime}-a^{\prime} b\right)+\alpha b\left(2 b-a^{\prime}\right)+\beta\left[\left(b-a^{\prime}\right)\left(2 b-a^{\prime}\right)-a\left(2 b^{\prime}-a^{\prime \prime}\right)\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\omega}+\alpha+\beta) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

along with $\tilde{\omega}=\omega-\alpha-\beta$. To be able to bring equation (12) to a Schrödinger form, it is appropriate to assume $\tilde{\omega}>0$ or, equivalently, $\omega>\alpha+\beta$. Without loss of generality, we may set $\tilde{\omega}=1$. Note that with the form (10), $\tilde{H}^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ is not $P T$-symmetric unless $a(x)$ is an odd function and $b(x)$ is an even function of $x$.

It is straightforward to obtain the Hermitian analogue of (12) by removing the firstderivative term with the help of a similarity transformation. This necessitates defining $\phi(x)=w(x) \chi(x), w(x) \equiv \tilde{\rho}_{(\alpha, \beta)}^{-1}$, and imposing the constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\rho}_{(\alpha, \beta)}=a^{-1 / 2} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \int^{x} \frac{c_{1}}{a^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x^{\prime}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided this function is well defined on $\mathbb{R}$. We then obtain a Hermitian equivalent form for $\tilde{H}^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ in a manner similar to (4):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{h}^{(\alpha, \beta)}=\tilde{\rho}_{(\alpha, \beta)} \tilde{H}^{(\alpha, \beta)} \tilde{\rho}_{(\alpha, \beta)}^{-1} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{h}^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ reads explicitly

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{h}^{(\alpha, \beta)}=-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} x} a^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} x}+V_{\mathrm{eff}}(x)  \tag{17}\\
& V_{\mathrm{eff}}(x)=-a^{2} \frac{w^{\prime \prime}}{w}-\left(a a^{\prime}-c_{1}\right) \frac{w^{\prime}}{w}+c_{2} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting for $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ by their expressions in (13) and (14), $\tilde{\rho}_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ and $V_{\text {eff }}$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\rho}_{(\alpha, \beta)}= & a^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\beta)}  \tag{19}\\
& \exp \left(-(\alpha-\beta) \int^{x} \frac{b}{a} \mathrm{~d} x^{\prime}\right) \\
V_{\text {eff }}= & \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\beta) a a^{\prime \prime}+\left[\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\beta)+\frac{1}{4}(\alpha-\beta)^{2}\right] a^{\prime 2}-\left[1+2(\alpha+\beta)+(\alpha-\beta)^{2}\right] a^{\prime} b  \tag{20}\\
& +\left[1+2(\alpha+\beta)+(\alpha-\beta)^{2}\right] b^{2}-(\alpha+\beta+1) a b^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\beta+1) .
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $\tilde{\rho}_{(\alpha, \beta)}=1$ for $\alpha=\beta$, which is as it should be. Specifically, for $\alpha=\beta=0, V_{\text {eff }}$ reduces to the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\mathrm{eff}}=b^{2}-(a b)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has been considered before in the context of a coordinate-dependent mass Schrödinger equation [25].

We now proceed to show that for a positive function $a(x), \tilde{H}^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ is pseudo-Hermitian with respect to some positive-definite $\zeta_{+}$and has therefore a real spectrum. For this we need to note that $\tilde{h}^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ in (17) is symmetric with respect to the parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$, given the form for $V_{\text {eff }}$ in (20). As such, equation (8) holds in this case too with $H^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ and $\rho_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ replaced by $\tilde{H}^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ and $\tilde{\rho}_{(\alpha, \beta)}$, respectively. Moreover, from (19), the condition $\tilde{\rho}_{(\alpha, \beta)}=\tilde{\rho}_{(\beta, \alpha)}^{-1}$ also holds, so that $\tilde{H}^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ is pseudo-Hermitian,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{H}^{(\alpha, \beta) \dagger}=\zeta_{+} \tilde{H}^{(\alpha, \beta)} \zeta_{+}^{-1} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\zeta_{+}=a^{\alpha-\beta} \exp \left[-2(\alpha-\beta) \int^{x}(b / a) \mathrm{d} x^{\prime}\right]>0$ if $a(x)>0$. For example, for coordinatedependent mass systems, $a(x)$ could be identified with the inverse square root of a certain mass function that is strictly positive definite. Indeed, a time-independent Schrödinger equation in the presence of a coordinate-dependent mass can always be brought [25] to the Hermitian form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} x} \frac{1}{M(x)} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} x}+V_{\mathrm{eff}}(x)\right) \psi(x)=E \psi(x) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have set $m(x)=m_{0} M(x)$ to make $M(x)$ dimensionless. We also used units such that $\hbar=2 m_{0}=1$. A comparison with (17) at once reveals that $a(x)=[M(x)]^{-1 / 2}$ as we just noted.

Let us assume, for instance, $a(x)=\cosh q x$ (corresponding to the solitonic profile $\left.M(x)=\operatorname{sech}^{2} q x\right)$ and $b(x)=\kappa q \sinh q x$ with $q>0$ and $\kappa>\frac{1}{2}$. Then the generalized quantum condition reads $\left[\eta, \eta^{\dagger}\right]=(2 \kappa-1) q^{2} \cosh ^{2} q x$ and we get from (19) and (20)
$\tilde{\rho}_{(\alpha, \beta)}=(\cosh q x)^{-(\alpha-\beta)\left(\kappa-\frac{1}{2}\right)} \quad V_{\text {eff }}=\frac{1}{4} q^{2}(2 \lambda+1)(2 \lambda-3) \cosh ^{2} q x+V_{0}$
where $V_{0}$ is some constant, $\lambda \equiv \frac{1}{2}+\sqrt{\Delta}$ and $\Delta \equiv(\kappa-1)^{2}+(\kappa-1)(2 \kappa-1)(\alpha+\beta)+$ $\left(\kappa-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}(\alpha-\beta)^{2}$. For those values of $\kappa, \alpha, \beta$ for which $\Delta>0$, and therefore $\lambda$ is real and positive, the resulting Hermitian Hamiltonian $\tilde{h}^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ of equation (17) has an infinite number of bound states, corresponding to the energy eigenvalues $E_{n}=q^{2}\left(n+\lambda-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(n+\lambda+\frac{1}{2}\right)+V_{0}$ and the wavefunctions $\chi_{n}(x) \propto(\operatorname{sech} q x)^{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}} C_{n}^{(\lambda)}(\tanh q x)$ (with $C_{n}^{(\lambda)}$ denoting a Gegenbauer polynomial) [26]. We conclude that the associated non-Hermitian Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ has the same real spectrum with wavefunctions $\phi_{n}(x)=\tilde{\rho}_{(\alpha, \beta)}^{-1} \chi_{n}(x)$.

Furthermore, it is interesting to consider the canonical condition $\left[\eta, \eta^{\dagger}\right]=1$ for the general choice (10). From (11), we get for $b$ the solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=-\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{2 g^{\prime 2}}+\frac{1}{2} g+\mu \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have defined $g(x)=\int^{x} \mathrm{~d} x^{\prime} / a\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mu$ is an integration constant. For example, for the same preceding choice of $M(x)$, the function $b$ turns out to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=\frac{1}{2} q \sinh q x+\frac{1}{q} \tan ^{-1}[\exp (q x)] \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

up to an additive integration constant.

In the context of the effective potential (20), we obtain on using (25)

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\mathrm{eff}}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{g^{\prime \prime \prime}}{g^{\prime 3}}-\frac{5}{4} \frac{g^{\prime \prime 2}}{g^{\prime 4}}+\left[1+2(\alpha+\beta)+(\alpha-\beta)^{2}\right]\left(\frac{1}{2} g+\mu\right)^{2} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

On choosing $g$ we can get explicit forms for $\tilde{\rho}_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ and $V_{\text {eff }}$. For instance, if $g=-\frac{1}{p} \mathrm{e}^{-p x}, p \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\tilde{\rho}_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\rho}_{(\alpha, \beta)}=\exp \left[-(\alpha-\beta)\left(-\frac{1}{2 p} \mathrm{e}^{-p x}+\mu\right)^{2}\right] \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $V_{\text {eff }}$ describes a Morse-like potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\mathrm{eff}}=-\frac{3}{4} p^{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 p x}+\left[1+2(\alpha+\beta)+(\alpha-\beta)^{2}\right]\left(-\frac{1}{2 p} \mathrm{e}^{-p x}+\mu\right)^{2} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is another example of a Hermitian equivalence derived by means of a similarity transformation. Note that the mapping function $\tilde{\rho}_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ is consistent with an exponential mass background: $M=\mathrm{e}^{-2 p x}$.

Finally, we can try to recast the general $V_{\text {eff }}$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\mathrm{eff}}=b_{1}^{2}-\left(a b_{1}\right)^{\prime}+\xi \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{1}=d_{1} b+d_{2} a^{\prime}$ and $\xi, d_{1}, d_{2}$ are some real constants. Comparing with (20) and $d_{1}, d_{2}$ in favour of $\alpha, \beta$, we have two solutions, either

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1}=(1+\alpha) b-\frac{1}{2} \alpha a^{\prime} \quad \xi=\frac{1}{2}(1+\alpha) \quad \beta=0 \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1}=(1+\beta) b-\frac{1}{2} \beta a^{\prime} \quad \xi=\frac{1}{2}(1+\beta) \quad \alpha=0 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the symmetric nature of $V_{\text {eff }}$ with respect to $\alpha, \beta$ interchange makes it evident to derive (32) from (31) and vice versa. We can also infer from (17) and (30) that in these special cases there exists [25] an intertwining operator for $\tilde{h}^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ induced by $\eta_{1}=a \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} x}+b_{1}$.
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